arXiv:1301.1637v3 [math.DS] 25 Feb 2013

Mobius Orthogonality, Rank-one Maps, Disjointness and Weak Limits of Powers

V.V. Ryzhikov

25.02.13,
Moscow State University

§1. In connection with the works [1],[3], [4] Jean-Paul Thouvenot asked me about a joining proof of the power disjointness for bounded [1] and bounded-recurrent rank-one constructions [4]. In [3] we answered his a bit more complicated question on MSJ-property for bounded constructions. MSJ-s (minimal self-joinings) imply the power disjointness, so a direct proof for it should be simpler. It is presented below. A reader could compare our joining proof with more longer and similar spectral one from [4]. For applications to Mobius orthogonality property **(M.o.p.)**, see [1], [2],[4], purely spectral properties do not play so crucial role. We prefer the comfortable and more dynamical category of Markov intertwinings.

The paper [5] contains all tools, concerning Markov (stochastic) intertwinings that we use. Disjointness of transformations S and T (acting as operators in $L_2(X,\mu)$, $\mu(X)=1$) means that for any Markov operator J the equality $SJ=JT$ implies $J=\Theta$, the orthoprojection to the constants in $L_2(X,\mu)$.

A map T is said to be totally ergodic iff for any Markov operator J and any $i>0$ the equality $T^iJ=J$ implies $J=\Theta$.

LEMMA 1. *Let S,T be totally ergodic and $J\neq\Theta$ be an indecomposable Markov operator such that $S^qJ=JT^p$ and q,p are coprime. Suppose moreover*

$$Q(S)J=JP(T)$$

where $P(T)=\sum_i a_iT^i$, $\quad Q(S)=\sum_j b_jS^j$, $\quad \sum_i a_i=1=\sum_i b_j,\ a_i,b_j\geq 0.$
Then Q and P are $\frac{p}{q}$-similar: there is a "polynomial" R such that

$$P(T)=R(T^p),\quad Q(S)=R(S^q).$$

Proof. We begin with so-called indecomposability principle: if $a_i\neq 0$, then

$$S^iJ=JT^j$$

for some j such that $b_j\neq 0$. Let $i\neq 0$, then $j\neq 0$, (S^i is ergodic, so $S^iJ=J$ implies $J=\Theta$.) From $S^iJ=JT^j$, $\ ij\neq 0$, we get $S^{iq}J=JT^{jq}$, From $S^qJ=JT^p$ it follows $S^{iq}J=JT^{ip}$. Thus, $J=JT^{jq-ip}$, T is totally ergodic, hence, $\quad jq=ip$. The integers q,p are coprime, so $i=qr$, $\quad j=pr$. We have now $a_i=b_{iq/p}$, $\sum_r a_{qr}=1$, $\ \sum_r b_{pr}=1$. This means that Q and P are $\frac{p}{q}$-similar.

Criterion for powers to be disjoint. *Let a totally ergodic* T *admit "polynomial" weak limits* $T^{qn_i} \to Q(T)$, $T^{pn_i} \to P(T)$. *If* $Q(T)$ *and* $P(T)$ *are not* $\frac{p}{q}$*-similar, then* T^q *and* T^p *are disjoint.*

Indeed, according so-called *weak closure principle,* from $T^qJ = JT^p$ we get $Q(T)J = JP(T)$ and apply Lemma 1 for $S = T$.

§2. Applications. Designing various rank-one maps with non-trivial polynomial limits, applying this criterion, we could fill our treasury by new applications. Let's consider a large class of "non-flat bounded-recurrent rank-one constructions" from the work [4]. Without reproducing their definition here, we only include some special connections between weak limits of constructions.

A rank-one construction is determined by an integer h_1, a cut-sequence r_j and a spacer sequence $(s_j(1), s_j(2), \dots, s_j(r_j - 1), s_j(r_j))$. The height of j-tower is determined by the formula $h_{j+1}+1 = (h_j+1)r_j+\sum_{i=1}^{r_j} s_j(i)$. Denote $H_j = -h_j-s_j$, $s_j = min\{s_j(1), s_j(2), \dots, s_j(r_j - 1)\}$,

$$P_{d,m}(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} T^{dH_{j_k+m}}.$$

Standard "rank-one" arguments provide the following:

$$P_{d,m}(T) = \ldots + a_{d,m}T^{N(d,m)}P_{1,m+1}, \qquad (*)$$

$$P_{1,m}(T) = aI + \ldots, \quad a > 0.$$

That is what we need. For $P(T) = \sum_z a_zT^z$ we define $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ by setting

$$\mathbf{P} = \{z \in \mathbf{Z} : a_z > 0\}.$$

From Lemma we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{p,m} \subset p\mathbf{Z}.$$

Recall that $P_{1,m}(T) = aI + \ldots$, hence, $0 \in \mathbf{P}_{1,m+1}$, so $(*)$ yields

$$\mathbf{P}_{1,m+1} \subset p\mathbf{Z}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{P}_{q,m+1} \subset (\mathbf{P}_{1,m+1} + \mathbf{P}_{1,m+1} + \ldots + \mathbf{P}_{1,m+1})$ (q *times*). (Technical explanation: if each $s_j(i)$ has a divisor p, then p divides any sum of spacer numbers $s_j(i)$.) The latter implies

$$\mathbf{P}_{q,m+1} \subset p\mathbf{Z}.$$

Applying Lemma,

$$\mathbf{P}_{p,m+1} = \frac{p}{q}\mathbf{P}_{q,m+1} \subset p^2\mathbf{Z}.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{P}_{1,m+2} \subset p^2\mathbf{Z},$$

repeating the above, we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{1,m+3} \subset p^3\mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{1,m+4} \subset p^4\mathbf{Z}, \ldots.$$

Suppose the set of all $s_{j_k+m}(i)$ be bounded. We have

$$\{s_{j_k+m}(1), s_{j_k+m}(2), \ldots, s_{j_k+m}(r_{j_k+m}-1)\} \subset \mathbf{P}_{1,m} + s_{j_k+m},$$

thereby, the following "local" flatness:

$$s_{j_k+m}(1) = s_{j_k+m}(2) = \ldots = s_{j_k+m}(r_{j_k+m}-1) = s_{j_k+m}.$$

By the standard trick (as we pass from j-stage to $j+2$-stage) our local flatnesses can be glued. Thus,

$$s_{j_k+m} = s_{j_k+m+m'},$$

holds for all m', $0 < m' \leq M_k$, where $M_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. This proves

LEMMA 2. *Totally ergodic bounded-recurrent constructions with a Markov self-similarity (T^p is not disjoint to T^q for some $q \neq p$) have to be flat-recurrent.*

Remarks. We have just considered only totally ergodic (= weakly mixing) systems. Generally, a bounded-recurrent rank-one construction belongs to one of the following classes:

1. an odometer,
2. flat and weakly mixing,
3. non-flat and weakly mixing,
4. non-flat with finite compact factor (some power of a construction is a direct sum of weakly mixing bounded-recurrent maps).

The Mobius orthogonality property in case of 1 is a consequence of [1], where J. Bourgain proved M.o.p **for all** bounded rank-one constructions. The case 4 is reduced via §7, [4] to the case 3, which is proved in [4] as well.

The power disjointness method that uses the property of pairwise disjointness of T^p-s for all large prime p, is proposed in [2] for the investigation of M. o. p. It does not cover the entire rank-one class. A finite collection $q_1, p_1, \ldots, q_n, p_n$, for which T^{q_i} and T^{p_i} are not disjoint, still not prevent the application of the method. However, for any fixed infinite family of primes p_i, under the condition that the set of other primes (out of this family) is infinite as well, there exists a rank-one weakly mixing transformation T such that all powers T^{p_i} are isomorphic, see [6], Theorem 6.

Thus, restricted to the rank-one bounded-recurrent transformations we do not know a proof of M.o. for the case 2. It is shown in [3](§7, *Bounded constructions and disjointness of powers*) that if after long time observing flat spacers we meet some "new" bounded spacers, then from $T^qJ = JT^p$ one gets

$$((1-q\varepsilon)I + q\varepsilon P)J = J((1-p\varepsilon)I + p\varepsilon P) \qquad (\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{P})$$

for a polynomial $P \neq I$, thus $J = \Theta$.

If after flat spacers we meet some "new" unbounded unstabilized spacers, then

$$((1-q\varepsilon)I+q\varepsilon\Theta)J=J((1-p\varepsilon)I+p\varepsilon\Theta) \qquad (\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{\Theta})$$

may arise, and we get again $J=\Theta$. A reader could easy find numerous examples of flat-recurrent systems for which these two last cases are realized.

§3. Mobius orthogonality property for bounded constructions.

Theorem([1]). *Bounded rank-one constructions have Mobius orthogonality property.*

1. For odometers M.o.b. simply follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mu(pi)=o(N).$$

2. If T is totally ergodic, then in non-rigid case we have a non-trivial polynomial weak and apply Lemma 2. In the case a construction is rigid we get easy $(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{P})$ and, so, the disjointness of powers. This implies (due to [2]) M.o.p. For completeness we copy from [3] a proof for rigid totally ergodic transformations

If a flatness appears, this means that our spacer sequence is following: for some sequence of integer intervals $[\alpha_t,\beta_t]$ $(\beta_t-\alpha_t)\to\infty$

$$\bar{s}_j=(s_t,s_t,\dots,s_t,s_t,0),\quad \forall j\in[\alpha_t,\beta_t]$$

("0"-s are necessary in this situation). From the weak mixing property, from time to time we see a break in the mentioned behavior (our construction is not an odometer!). Thus, infinitely many times we meet non-flat roofs over last columns. Given $\varepsilon>0$, it is not hard to get for all $k<\varepsilon^{-1}$ the following weak limits:

$$T^{kn_j}\to(1-k\varepsilon)I+k\varepsilon P,$$

where $P=\sum_{i\geq 0}^{\infty}a_iT^i$, $\sum_{i\geq 0}^{\infty}a_i=1$, and $a_m>0$ for some $m>0$.

If

$$T^qJ=JT^p$$

for an operator $J:L_2(\mu)\to L_2(\mu)$, then we get **(I+P)**. Assume J to be an indecomposable Markov operator (indecomposable in the convex set of Markov operators intertwining T^q and T^p, or, in other words, the corresponding joining is ergodic). All operators T^nJ, JT^m are indecomposable as well. Let $q<p$, from **(I+P)** it follows $J=JT^{m'}$ for some $m'>0$ (again a marginal invariance of a joining!). The ergodicity of $T^{m'}$ implies $J=\Theta$. Thus, T^q and T^p are disjoint.

3. We have considered totally ergodic maps. The general case could be reduced to item by simple technical methods. Now we set out this scheme (compare with [4]).

If T is not an odometer and T is not totally ergodic, then T possesses an eigenvalue λ with minimal $d>0$ such that $\lambda^d=1$, and any eigenvalue of T (which has simple spectrum !) is λ^i. It follows simply from the existence of a polynomial limit $P(T)=\sum_i a_iT^i\neq I$ and the standard observation: if $\left|\sum_i a_i\lambda^i\right|=1$, then $a_k\neq 0$ implies $\lambda^k=1$. We find $d=min\{k-k':\ a_ka_{k'}\neq 0, k\neq k'\}$.

Thus our T is a cyclic permutation of some disjoint sets $E, TE, \dots, T^{d-1}E$, and $S = T^d|E$ is a weakly mixing transformation as a transformation of the space E.

It is obvious that d must divide all heights of "column + spacer"-s, $h_j + s_j(i)$, (see [3]) for all large steps j. Indeed, let for a level of a rank-one tower its $(1-\varepsilon)$-part be in E. If there is a "column + spacer" such that $h_j + s_j(i) = dM + q$, $0 < q < d$, then E is not invariant with respect to T^d. Out of E we can "see" a part of E of a measure grater than $\mu(E)/r - \varepsilon$, where r is the cut-parameter (any r_j, number of columns, is limited by r).

Thus, $S = T^d|E$ is weakly mixing bounded construction, moreover S and, hence, all powers S^n have power disjointness property. This implies the Mobius orthogonality: for $f \in C(E)$ (we can consider E as an interval) and any $n > 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(S^{ni}x)\mu(i) = o(N).$$

Let's consider f as a function on X, extending it by 0 out of E. Suppose d to be prime. Recall that $\mu(d) = -1$, $\mu(dk) = \mu(d)\mu(k)$ as $k \perp d$, and $\mu(d^2k) = 0$. Then for any $x \in E$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(T^ix)\mu(i) = \sum_{0<k\le N/d} f(T^{dk}x)\mu(dk) = \sum_{0<k\le N/d} f(S^kx)\mu(d)\mu(k) -$$

$$- \sum_{0<m\le N/d^2} f(S^{dm}x)\mu(d)\mu(dm) = \frac{o(N)}{d} + \sum_{0<m\le N/d^2} f(S^{dm}x)\mu(dm) =$$

$$= \frac{o(N)}{d} + \sum_{0<m\le N/d^2} f(S^{dm}x)\mu(d)\mu(m) +$$

$$+ \sum_{0<n\le N/d^3} f(S^{d^2n}x)\mu(dn) \le \frac{o(N)}{d} + \frac{o(N)}{d^2} + \frac{\|f\|}{d^3}.$$

Continuing we get for any 100 for all lage N

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(T^ix)\mu(i)\right| \le \frac{\|f\|}{d^{100}}; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(T^ix)\mu(i) = o(N).$$

Then we represent $F \in C(X)$ as $F = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_i$, where $supp f_i \subset T^iE$, hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} F(T^ix)\mu(i) = o(N).$$

If d is not prime, we apply above integral suspensions for each prime divisor, each time conserving M.o.p.

§4. Mobius orthogonality property for bounded-recurrent constructions.

Lemma 2 + a similar reduction of the ergodic case to the totally ergodic one imply

Assertion([4]). *Bounded-recurrent rank-one constructions, not being flat-recurrent, have Mobius orthogonality property.*

Outside of the above constructions and the maps with MSJ , outside of rigid constructions with mentioned limits $(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{P})$ and $(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{\Theta})$ there is a rank-one subclass that is not amenable to the method of disjoint powers. To check the Mobius orthogonality property for this subclass we need other approaches.

References

[1] J. Bourgain, On the correlation of the Mobius function with random rank-one systems, preprint 2011, arXiv:1112.1032, to appear in J. Analyse

[2] J. Bourgain, P. Sarnak, T. Ziegler. Distjointness of Mobius from horocycle flows. arXiv:1110.0992

[3] V. V. Ryzhikov. Minimal Self-Joinings, Bounded Constructions, and Weak Closure of Ergodic Actions. arXiv:1212.2602

[4] El H. El Abdalaoui , M. Lemanczyk, Th. De La Rue. On spectral disjointness of powers for rank-one transformations and Mobius orthogonality. arXiv:1301.0134

[5] V. V. Ryzhikov. Stochastic Intertwinings and Multiple Mixing of Dynamical Systems. Journal of Dyn. and Contr. Sys, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1996, 1-19

[6] V. V. Ryzhikov. Spectral multiplicity for powers of weakly mixing automorphisms. 2011. arXiv:1102.3068

vryzh@mail.ru